

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,745	01/10/2002	Klausjoerg Klein	FA1025 US NA	6496	
23906	7590 04/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
E I DU POI	NT DE NEMOURS AN	MAYEKAR	MAYEKAR, KISHOR		
LEGAL PAT	TENT RECORDS CENT	ER			
BARLEY M	ILL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANC	ASTER PIKE		1753		
WILMINGT	ON, DE 19805				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/			
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/043,745		KLEIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Kishor Ma		1753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	- <i>-</i>			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a least of this communication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stationary reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no even reply within the statute iod will apply and will tute cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tir ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
•	his action is no	n-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from con						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b)[ the drawing(s) be rection is require	e held in abeyance. Se d if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d). 2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have beer ents have beer priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/02 & 10/03.		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/043,745

Art Unit: 1753

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SCHAFHEUTLE et al. (5,646,236), a reference cited by Applicant. The reference's invention is directed to a polyester and its use as an additive in a coating composition. The reference discloses that the coating composition is a cationic electrodeposition coating composition in a quantity of from 0.1 to 5 wt% based on the resin solids composition (col. 5, lines 41-58); the polyester has an acid value of less than 35 mg of KOH/g (paragraph crossing cols. 4 and 5 and col. 2, lines 14-43); and the polyester obtained by reacting different and long

Application/Control Number: 10/043,745

Art Unit: 1753

hydrocarbon components (col. 1, lines 55-65 and col. 2, line 66 through col. 3, line 65). As such, the reference discloses numerical ranges of the additive that touch, overlap or lie within the claimed range. The disclosure in the prior art of any value within the claimed range is an anticipation of that range. And where the range overlap disclosed by the prior, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the reference's teachings because overlapping ranges have been held to be obvious, *In re Wertheim* 191 USPQ 90.

As to the subject matter of claim 6 and 8, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the reference's teachings because it has been settled that proper adjustment of a known effective variable of a known or obvious process is within the capabilities of one having ordinary skill in the art. In re Aller 105 USPQ 233; In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,745

Art Unit: 1753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753